

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/004,669 12/04/2001		/04/2001	James A. Van Bosch	TC00135 9613		
23330	7590	08/10/2006		EXAMINER		
MOTOROLA, INC. LAW DEPARTMENT				NGUYEN, S	NGUYEN, STEVEN H D	
1303 E. ALG		ROAD	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SCHAUMBU	RG, IL	50196	2616			

DATE MAILED: 08/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/004,669	VAN BOSCH, JAMES A.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Steven HD Nguyen	2616				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES and time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim  will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the  cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
2a)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>01 Au</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. ace except for formal matters, pro					
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ <b>Applicati</b> 9)□ 10)□	Claim(s) 1-4,8-11,13,15,17,18,20-23 and 36-44  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-4,8-11, 13, 15, 17-18, 20-23, 36-44  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or  on Papers  The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access  Applicant may not request that any objection to the or	vn from consideration.  is/are rejected.  election requirement.  epted or b) □ objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	Examiner. 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>							
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔲 Inform	(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary ( Paper No(s)/Mail Dal 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te				

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Allowable Subject Matter

1. The indicated allowability of claims is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Kupczyk and Willkie. Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1, 2, 4, 8-11, 15, 18, 20-23, 36-37, 42 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kupczyk (USP 6751452) in view of Willkie (USP 6230012).

Regarding claim 1, Kupczyk discloses a method of enabling communication with a wireless communication device, said method comprising the steps of receiving a message, comprising an authentication code for said wireless communication device and an operation to be performed by said wireless communication device (Fig 10, Ref 12' and col. 8, lines 38-58 receiving a message includes access code and command for executing at the vehicle and access code used for authenticated), from a second communication device (Fig 10, Ref 40) via said dynamic address, wherein said unique identifier and said authentication code of said wireless communication device is stored in a memory of said second communication device (access code and vehicle identifier are stored at fig 10, Ref 40, See col. 2, line 51 to col. 3, line 28), if said authentication code received in said message is valid, performing said operation indicated in said

it: 2616

message at said wireless communication device (Col. 8, lines 38-58). However, Kupczyk fails to disclose receiving a dynamic address when said wireless communication device registers with a wireless communication network; providing to a server a unique identifier for said wireless communication device and said dynamic address assigned to said wireless communication device. In the same field of endeavor, Willkie discloses receiving a dynamic address when said wireless communication device registers with a wireless communication network; providing to a server a unique identifier for said wireless communication device and said dynamic address assigned to said wireless communication device (Col. 11, lines 2-7, col. 8, 33-60, col. 9, lines 11-25 disclose assigned a dynamic IP and providing the IP and identifier of mobile to server of wireless, database contains IP and ESN, MIN etc...).

Since, a method and system for assigned IP address and mapping IP and identifier of wireless device in a server are well known and expected in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to apply a method and system for assigning IP address to the wireless device and mapping IP address with identifier as disclosed by Willkie into the teaching of Kupczyk. The motivation would have been to route the packets between the devices.

Regarding claims 2 and 42, Willkie discloses assigning a dynamic IP address to said wireless communications device coupled to a vehicle (Col. 2, lines 48-60 and Fig 10, Ref 12').

Regarding claim 4, Willkie discloses said unique identifier for said wireless communication device is one of an electronic serial number of said wireless communication device or an International Mobile Subscriber Identity number of said wireless communication device (Col. 11, lines 2-7).

Regarding claims 8 and 18, Kupczyk and Willkie disclose said server is a cellular service provider (Fig 10, Ref 24' or Fig 1, Ref 108).

Regarding claims 9 and 22, Kupczyk discloses said message is received from said second communication device via said wireless communication network (Fig 10).

Regarding claims 10 and 20, Kupczyk discloses operation controls said vehicle (Col. 8, lines 38-58).

Regarding claims 11 and 21, Kupczyk discloses said message is received via a packet data link (Col. 8, lines 38-58).

Regarding claim 36, Kupczyk discloses said message is received from said second communication device via said server (Fig 10).

Regarding claims 15 and 37, Kupczyk discloses a method of enabling communication with a wireless communication device, said method comprising the steps of storing an unique identifier and an authentication code of said wireless communication device in a memory of a second communication device (Fig 10, Ref 40 for storing access code and identifier of wireless device for forming a message); transmitting a message from said second communication device (Fig 10, Ref 24') to said wireless communication device via a server (Fig 10, Ref 24'), and wherein said message comprises said unique identifier of wireless communication device, said authentication code of said wireless communication device, and an operation to be performed by said wireless communication device; and if said authentication code sent in said message is valid, controlling said wireless communication device based upon said operation (Col. 8, lines 38-58, packet includes target address, access code and command). However, Kupczyk fails to disclose wherein said server has stored a current dynamic address of said wireless

communication device. In the same field of endeavor, Willkie discloses server has stored a current dynamic address of said wireless communication device (col. 6, lines 1-10).

Since, a method and system for storing address at a sever for using to route the packet to its destination is well known and expected in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to apply a method and system for storing a dynamic address of the wireless device in a server as disclosed by Willkie into the teaching of Kupczyk. The motivation would have been to route the packets to the wireless device.

Regarding claim 23, Willkie discloses a steps of receiving said dynamic address assigned to said wireless communication device; and sending subsequent messages directly to said wireless communication device via said dynamic address (Col. 6, lines 1-10).

4. Claims 3, 13, 17, 38-40 and 44 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kupczyk and Willkie as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Raithel (USP) 6842762).

Regarding claims 3 and 17, Kupczyk and Willkie fail to disclose said unique identifier for said wireless communication device is a vehicle identification number (VIN) of said vehicle. In the same field of endeavor, Raithel discloses identifier is VIN (Col. 2, lines 10-20).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to apply VIN as an identifier as disclosed by Raithel into the method and system of Kupczyk and Willkie. The motivation would have been to prevent a break down in the configuration data of the vehicle.

Regarding claim 13 and 38, Kupczyk and Willkie fail to disclose providing said dynamic address to said second communication device. However, the examiner takes an official notice that a method and system for providing IP address of device to another device is well known and expected in the art at the time of invention was made. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide an IP address of first device to second device into the teaching of Kupczyk and Willkie. The motivation would have been to route the packets via packet network.

Regarding claim 39, Kupczyk and Willkie fail to disclose said second communication device is a wireless communication device. However, the examiner takes an official notice that a method and system for allowing the wireless devices to communicate via a packet network is well known and expected in the art at the time of invention was made. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to implement a second device is a wireless device into the teaching of Kupczyk and Willkie. The motivation would have been to provide an universal interface to users.

Regarding claims 40 and 44, Kupczyk and Willkie fail to disclose said message is encrypted. However, the examiner takes an official notice that a method and system for encrypting a message is well known and expected in the art at the time of invention was made. therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to encrypt information of the message before transmitting into the teaching of Kupczyk and Willkie. The motivation would have been to protect data.

5. Claims 41 and 43 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kupczyk and Willkie as applied to claims 1 and 15 above, and further in view of Schuyler (USP 6429773).

Page 7

Art Unit: 2616

Kupczyk and Willkie fail to disclose operation comprises at least one of the following starting said vehicle, or deactivating an alarm on said vehicle. In the same field of endeavor, Schuyler discloses sending a message to deactivate an alarm (Col. 2, lines 24-48).

Since, a method and system for deactivating alarm is well known and expected in the art. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to apply a method and system for deactivating alarm as disclosed Schuyler in the teaching of Kupczyk and Willkie. The motivation would have been to allow the owner of the vehicle to open the car door.

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven HD Nguyen whose telephone number is (571) 272-3159. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Wellington Chin can be reached on (571) 272-3134. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/004,669 Page 8

Art Unit: 2616

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <a href="http://pair-direct.uspto.gov">http://pair-direct.uspto.gov</a>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Steven HD Nguyen Primary Examiner Art Unit 2616 August 8, 2006